For the attention of Mr. Aaron Sands.

Dear Sir,

I have viewed many of the documents which have been submitted in respect of the above appeal.

The addendum dated February 2019, prepared by Liz Lake Associates to "assist the appeal" includes photomontages which I believe are misleading.

1. Neither the location map nor the aerial view shows the established housing development at Chalk Hill. Residents have been living there for about two years. The map supplied by Liz Lake Associates is clearly out of date.

2. Because of the above development, the photo-montages supplied cannot be described as "up to date". If photo, PM1, was taken from the location as marked on the map, there would not be hedges on the right hand side of the road but houses. The location (viewpoint) of the photograph is shown as directly opposite Hill Farm looking towards Fowlmere. I attach a photo taken today from this point (exhibit A). I walked further up the road, beyond the de-restriction sign and took another photo (exhibit B). Walking even further, I then took a third photo (Exhibit C). The telegraph poles confirm the approximate viewpoint but PM1 shows no sign of houses, just a very overgrown hedge which is no longer there. The foliage on all photos supplied to the Inspector is very dense and therefore not recent. This evidence leads me to the conclusion that:
   a) PM1 was not taken from where it is stated, viz. opposite the entrance to Hill Farm.
   b) It was taken about two years ago, or longer, prior to the development of 15 houses at Chalk Hill and before the archaeological tests began.

3. What photos, PM1 and PM2 do prove is that if you photograph a site from behind a hedge, it is not possible to see the land on that site. Therefore it would not be possible to see a grain store - if it was there. Photo PM4 shows a similar circumstance since it was taken from a position behind a hedge looking towards Foxton. Between PM2 and PM4 however, there lies approximately one mile of road with open views across green belt farmland. This is where the proposed structures would be highly visible. The attempt to provide a photo-montage, PM3, of this long stretch of road cleverly shows an end-view the proposed buildings taken from a point across the field opposite, not from the road. PM3 shows the least possible exterior surface area of the proposed grain store and associated buildings. From everywhere else along Fowlmere Road, the sides of the grain store would be seen at various angles from both directions.

4. For the reason stated in paragraph 1, above, it would follow that distances given from the proposed grain store to the nearest residence in Foxton is almost certainly based on the same out-of-date map.

Finally, I would like to mention that Foxton Wood, to the west of Fowlmere Road, was planted over 20 years ago and seating exists for walkers to rest and enjoy the magnificent open views looking towards Thriplow. That view would be completely ruined if the appeal was allowed.